CORRECTION TO "NONPARAMETRIC REGRESSION USING DEEP NEURAL NETWORKS WITH RELU ACTIVATION FUNCTION"

By Johannes Schmidt-Hieber and Don Vu

University of Twente and Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Correction: Condition (ii) in Theorem 1 should be changed to

(ii'):
$$\sum_{i=0}^{q} \frac{\beta_i + t_i}{2\beta_i^* + t_i} \log_2(4t_i \vee 4\beta_i) \log_2(n) \le L \lesssim n\phi_n$$

Moreover, the constants C, C' in Theorem 1 also depend on the implicit constants that appear in the Conditions (ii) - (iv). There are large regimes where the new condition (ii') is weaker than (ii).

Explanation: Rather than choosing m and N in the proof of Theorem 1 globally, one should instead apply Theorem 5 individually to each i with

$$m_i := \left\lceil \frac{\beta_i + t_i}{2\beta_i^* + t_i} \log_2(n) \right\rceil \quad \text{and} \quad N_i := \left\lceil c n^{t_i/(2\beta_i^* + t_i)} \right\rceil,$$

where $0 < c \leq 1/2$ is a sufficiently small constant. As mentioned at the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove the result for sufficiently large n. Therefore, we can assume that $m_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, q$ and $N_i \leq n^{t_i/(2\beta_i^*+t_i)}$. The latter implies

(1)
$$N_i 2^{-m_i} \le N_i \left(n^{-\frac{t_i}{2\beta_i^* + t_i}} \right)^{\frac{\beta_i + t_i}{t_i}} \le N_i^{-\frac{\beta_i}{t_i}}$$

If we now define

(2)
$$L'_i := 8 + (m_i + 5)(1 + \lceil \log_2(t_i \lor \beta_i) \rceil),$$

then there exists a network $\widetilde{h}_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}(L'_i, (t_i, 6(t_i + \lceil \beta_i \rceil)N_i, \dots, 6(t_i + \lceil \beta_i \rceil)N_i, 1), s_i)$ with $s_i \leq 141(t_i + \beta_i + 1)^{3+t_i}N_i(m_i + 6)$, such that using (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|\widetilde{h}_{ij} - h_{ij}\right\|_{L^{\infty}([0,1]^{t_i})} &\leq (2Q_i + 1)(1 + t_i^2 + \beta_i^2)6^{t_i}N_i 2^{-m_i} + Q_i 3^{\beta_i} N_i^{-\frac{\beta_i}{t_i}} \\ (3) &\leq \left((2Q_i + 1)(1 + t_i^2 + \beta_i^2)6^{t_i} + Q_i 3^{\beta_i}\right) N_i^{-\frac{\beta_i}{t_i}}, \end{aligned}$$

imsart-aos ver. 2014/10/16 file: correction.tex date: October 30, 2023

where Q_i is any upper bound of the Hölder norms of h_{ij} , $j = 1, \ldots, d_{i+1}$. We can now argue as in the original proof to show that the composite network f^* is in the class $\mathcal{F}(E, (d, 6r_i \max_i N_i, \ldots, 6r_i \max_i N_i, 1), \sum_{i=0}^q d_{i+1}(s_i+4))$, with $E := 3q + \sum_{i=0}^q L'_i$. Using the definition of L'_i in (2) it can be shown as in the original proof that $E \leq \sum_{i=0}^q \frac{\beta_i + t_i}{2\beta_i^* + t_i} (\log_2(4) + \log_2(t_i \vee \beta_i)) \log_2(n)$ for all sufficiently large n. All remaining steps are the same as in the original proof of Theorem 1. The constant c in the definition of N_i will also depend on the implicit constant in the conditions $L \leq n\phi_n, n\phi_n \leq \min_{i=1,\dots,L} p_i$ and $s \approx n\phi_n \log n$.

Further comments:

- Lemma 1 requires that the constant K is large enough such that Theorem 3 is applicable.
- First display on p.1886: The value t_2 is N not Nd.
- Equation (18) also requires that the inputs are non-negative.
- In Lemma 3, the L^{∞} -norms should be replaced by the supremum, that is, $\|f\|_{L^{\infty}(A)}$ should be changed to $\sup_{\mathbf{x}\in A} |f(\mathbf{x})|$.
- In (22) and two lines after (22), $R(\hat{f}, f)$ should be $R(\hat{f}_n, f)$.
- In the proof of Theorem 1, r_i does not depend on i and should be named r. Three lines after Equation (26), C should be replaced by C'.
- In the proof of Theorem 3, β^* in the first line on p.1893 should be β^{**} . It is sufficient to check that the Hölder constant of $\phi_{\mathbf{w}}$ is bounded by $(\beta^* + 1)^{t^*}(t^* + 1)$ as all later arguments of the proof carry over. Moreover $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d_i})^{\top}$ should be $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d_{i+1}})^{\top}$ if $d_i \geq d_{i+1}$ and $g_i(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d_i}, 0, \ldots, 0)^{\top}$ if $d_i < d_{i+1}$. Finally $\|\psi_{\mathbf{u}}\|_2^2$ should be replaced by $\|\psi_{\mathbf{u}}^B\|_2^2$.
- In the proof of Lemma 2, one can simply take the constant function $h_{j,\alpha} = K$ if $\mu_0 \neq 0$. This immediately gives $d_{j,k} = K\mu_0^d 2^{-jd/2}$ for all wavelet coefficients $d_{j,k}$. For the case $\mu_0 = 0$, one should replace the binomial coefficient $\binom{dr}{r}$ by the multinomial coefficient $\binom{dr}{r,\ldots,r} = (dr)!/(r!)^d$.
- To verify the last inequality in (B.8) of the Supplementary Material, one can replace $\leq 1/M$ by < 1/M.
- In the proof of Lemma 4, some F are missing. In particular it should be $r_j := F\sqrt{n^{-1}\log \mathcal{N}_n} \vee E^{1/2}[(f_j(\mathbf{X}) - f_0(\mathbf{X}))^2]$. Also on p.12 of the Supplementary Material it should be

$$P(T \ge t) \le 1 \land 2\mathcal{N}_n \max_j \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{8tF/(3r_j) + 16n}\right)$$

Since $r_j \ge F\sqrt{n^{-1}\log \mathcal{N}_n}$ we can argue as before and obtain $P(T \ge n)$

imsart-aos ver. 2014/10/16 file: correction.tex date: October 30, 2023

 $t \leq 2\mathcal{N}_n \exp(-3t\sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}_n}/(16\sqrt{n}))$ for all $t \geq 6\sqrt{n\log \mathcal{N}_n}$. The conclusion of (I) is still valid.

- In the proof of Lemma 5, we always work with the $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ -norm for vectors. The grid size of an individual parameter should be taken as $\delta/((L+1)V)$.

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE, P.O. Box 217, 7500 AE ENSCHEDE THE NETHERLANDS E-MAIL: a.j.schmidt-hieber@utwente.nl VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT AMSTERDAM, DE BOELELAAN 1105, 1081 HV AMSTERDAM THE NETHERLANDS E-MAIL: don@abnormalstatistics.nl